

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Washington, D.C. 20231
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/763,370	02/21/2001	Etsuro Ogata	OGATA4	9907	
1444	7590 01/29/2002				
BROWDY AND NEIMARK, P.L.L.C.			EXAMINER		
SUITE 300	STREET, NW		HARRIS, ALANA M		
WASHINGTON, DC 20001-5303			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			1642		
			DATE MAILED: 01/29/2002	DATE MAILED: 01/29/2002	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary		09/763,370	OGATA ET AL.				
		Examiner	Art Unit				
		Alana M. Harris, Pl					
	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM							
THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status							
1)🖂	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 03	December 2001 .					
2a)⊠	This action is FINAL . 2b) T	his action is non-fina	I.				
3)□	3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disp sition of Claims							
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-17</u> is/are pending in the application.							
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5)⊠	Claim(s) 8-17 is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-5</u> is/are rejected.							
7)🖂	7)⊠ Claim(s) <u>6 and 7</u> is/are objected to.						
8) 🗆	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/	or election requireme	ent.				
Application Papers							
9) 🗆 🤄	9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10) 🔲	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ acce	epted or b) objected	to by the Examiner.				
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the	ne drawing(s) be held i	n abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).				
11) 🔲	The proposed drawing correction filed on	_ is: a)□ approved	b) disapproved by the Examiner.				
	If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.						
12)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.							
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120							
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).							
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:							
	1. Certified copies of the priority documen	ts have been receive	ed.				
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 							
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).							
 a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121. 							
Attachment(s)							
2) D Notic	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) 🔲 No	erview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) stice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) ner:				
U.S. Patent and Tr			Ded of Dense No. 7				

Application/Control Number: 09/763,370 Page 2

Art Unit: 1642

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Arguments

- 1. Claims 1-17 are pending.
 - Claims 1, 3, 5-8 and 15-17 have been amended.
 - Claims 1-17 are examined on the merits.
- 2. The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.

Withdrawn Rejections

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

3. The rejection of claims 1-17 under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention is withdrawn in light of Applicants' arguments and amendments to the claims.

Maintained Rejections

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

4. The rejection of claims 1-5 under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Plebani et al. (Clinical Biochemistry 29(1): 67-72, February 1996) are maintained.

Applicants argue "Plebani provides information obtained by comparing and discussing the sensitivity and specificity of serum markers," and "... the markers [were] solely used by itself for the detection of bone metastases." According to Applicants "Plebani neither discloses nor suggest the combined use of markers...". Further, Applicants assert "the present invention is characterized by the combined use of a marker that reflects the activity of osteoblasts and a marker that reflects the action of osteoclasts". Applicant's arguments filed December 3, 2001 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

As claimed Applicants' method of diagnosing metastasis of malignant tumor to bone using two markers does not provide for a simultaneous use of the markers as suggested by Applicants' arguments when stating the "combined use", nor do the claims as written suggest such. The claimed method plainly provides the use of both markers and is not directed to any specific manner as to how the markers are to be used in the diagnosis. The claims have been given the most reasonable interpretation. For example, the claimed method could be interpreted wherein marker A can be used and marker B would then follow. As the claims are written Plebani continues to anticipate the claims. Applicants are cautioned against the addition of new matter. If amendments to the recited claims are made in order to clarify, Applicants should identify the page and lines to which support for amendments can be found.

Allowable Subject Matter

5. Claims 8-17 are allowed.

Page 4

6. Claims 6 and 7 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Conclusion

7. THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

8. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Alana M. Harris, Ph.D. whose telephone number is (703) 306-5880. The examiner can normally be reached on 6:30 am to 4:00 pm, with alternate Fridays off.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Anthony Caputa, Ph.D. can be reached on (703) 308-

Page 5

3995. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 308-4315 for regular communications and (703) 308-4315 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0196.

Alana M. Harris, Ph.D. January 28, 2002

ANTHONY C CAPUTA
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 1600